

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/659,896 | 09/11/2003 | Gilda A. Taylor | 33369-1 | 5952 |
| 7590 08/16/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Joseph T. Guy, Ph.D. | | | SANTOS, ROBERT G | |
| NEXSEN PRUI Fed. Sta. | ET JACOBS & POLLAR | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| P.O. Box 10107 | | | 3673 | |
| Greenville, SC 29603-0107 | | | DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| | 10/659,896 | TAYLOR, GILDA A. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Robert G. Santos | 3673 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | n appears on the cover sheet wi | ith the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rion. s, a rèply within the statutory minimum of third period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become AE | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 11 September 2003. | • |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ | This action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for a | llowance except for formal matt | ters, prosecution as to the merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice ur | nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D |). 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applic | ation. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi | thdrawn from consideration. | · |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ | ☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to | by the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. Note the attached | d Office Action or form PTO-152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docu | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docu | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of th | | received in this National Stage |
| application from the International E | | l managera d |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for | a list of the certified copies not | received. |
| Attachment(s) | | • |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 | | (s)/Mail Date |
| 3) 🛛 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO | 'SB/08) 5) \square Notice of 1 | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09112003.

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,896

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Skaler '279 (note especially Figures 1-4; column 1, lines 55-65; and column 2, lines 1-49).

Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lonardo '889. As concerns claims 1, 2, 4 and 5, Lonardo '889 shows the claimed limitations of a method for rotating a patient (56) to one side in a bed, comprising: passing an elongated strap (26) around the patient wherein the strap comprises a lower end having an attachment device (36, 37), an upper end (28) having a handle (the free end thereof), and padding (12, 14); attaching the lower end (30) to a bed rail (54) (as described in column 3, lines 24-28); grasping the upper end (as shown in Figure 6); and pulling the upper end toward the bed rail whereby the patient rolls towards the bed rail (as shown in Figure 7 and as described in column 3, lines 21-24). With regards to claims 6, 7 and 9, the reference also discloses the steps of passing a second elongated strap (24) around the patient wherein the second elongated strap comprises a second lower end (34) having a second attachment device (36, 37) and a second upper end (32) having a handle (the free end thereof); attaching the second lower end to a bed rail (54) (as described in column

3, lines 24-28); and grasping the second upper end (as shown in Figure 6), prior to said pulling.

As concerns claim 10, the reference is considered to show a condition wherein the elongated strap is at a nipple line and the second elongated strap is at a buttocks of the patient in Figure 5.

Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by GB Patent No. 2,213,734 to Hatcher et al. (note especially Figures 1 & 2; page 1, lines 12-26; and page 2, lines 1-17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lonardo '889 in view of Mann '879. Lonardo '889 does not specifically disclose conditions wherein the (first) attachment device is a snap and wherein the second attachment device is a loop and hook device. Mann '879 provides the basic teaching of a body positioner (10) comprising a plurality of straps (12-15), wherein each strap includes snap (21, 23) as well as hook and loop devices (20, 24). The skilled artisan would have found it obvious to replace the attachment devices of Lonardo '889 with snap and loop and hook devices in order to provide a simple alternative means for attaching the straps to a bed rail as desired.

Art Unit: 3673

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hatcher et al. '734 in view of Gershman. Hatcher et al. '734 do not specifically disclose a condition wherein the attachment device (5) is a hook and loop device. Gershman teaches the use of hook and loop fasteners which may be used to replace slide buckles and safety buckles. The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to replace the attachment device of Hatcher et al. '734 with a hook and loop device since such a modification would have been generally considered as a substitution of art-recognized equivalents as taught by Gershman.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Newman et al. '044, Vanarnem '834, Lonardo '231, Lonardo '226, Littleton '925, Parker '903 and Witter '225.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert G. Santos whose telephone number is (703) 308-7469. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fr and first Mondays, 10:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,896

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Page 5

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert G. Santos

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3673

R.S. August 6, 2004